G R. S. 59]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1907. 08
(Miscl.)

File No. 76

Serial Nos. 1–9

Papers Filed.

Month August 1911

SUBJECT.

Archakship of the Sri Venkataramana Swami and other temples at Hikkalu and Kempoballi in the Tumkur Taluk.
Repairs &c.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.
	459-15-1-2 116-16-1 356-6

G.R.S. 11]

1907

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Inwards

NOTES.

File No 76
1904

FILE HEADING

Archakship of the Sri Venkataramanaswami and other temples at Hikkalli & Kempohalli in the Tumk Taluk

From

No

Dated

Received

Diary No.

Enclos.

Spare Copies.

Serial No

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Muzrai Secretariat | File No. 76 of 1907

Note continued

Orders were accordingly issued in

(Slip T) Govt Order of the 11th July 1906

Archak Lakshmipathi Achan of

(Slip N) Godur memorialized H H the Maharaja praying that the provinces of the temple lands held under attachment may be ordered to be made over to him

The memorial which was forwarded for disposal to this office, was referred to the Dy commissioner and that Officer has submitted a detailed report thereon together with the records of enquiry-

Letter No. 625 d: 20/6/08

The Dy Commissioner reports that on 28th November 1906, the petitioner executed a muchalika before the Sheristadar

(x Slip V) to the effect that he would assume charge of the temple on condition of repairing Sri Venkatachalapathi

File No. 76 of 1907 | Muzrai Secretariat

and other temples in the Tanjore Dist
and produces 10 out of 11 articles reported
to have been missing adding that
the remaining one articles viz an
umbrella with a silver "Kalasa"
over it never exists in the temple
He states that Gurunayappa who was
then a doing duty as Archak protested against
making over charge until he took
the produce of the temple Inam land.
That the petitioner was consequently
kept out of office till March 1907
when he took charge of the Archak-
ship. He further states that the question
as to which of the two Sujanies —
Gurunayappa or the petitioner — should
receive the produce for 1906-07 finally
came up before the Dy commr
when it was ordered that the Sujanie
produce for the year 1906-07 should

GPB—3,000—25 9-07

Muzrai Secretariat | File No. 76 of 1907

be equally distributed between the 2 Pujaries as Gurunazappa was reported to have performed the Archak duties for 8 months during that year, that the petitioner declines to accept the produce thus offered him and claims the produce of the Inam lands for the previous 4 years i.e. from the date he lost his Archak's place till he was reinstated, and refused to carry out the repairs till he was given the produce for 4 years.

He therefore reports as follows:—

Though the villagers repaired the temple of Sri Anjaneya Devaru at Kempanahalli, the repairs to which the petitioner was bound to carry out, he failed to perform Puje in the said temple

the produce of the temple lands for the past 4 years 1903-4 To 1906-7 Gurunayappa who officiated as archak of the temple has taken the full produce for the 2 years (1904-5 and 1905-6) and half of it for 1906-7 and there remains in reserve half the produce for the year 1906-7 and the whole of it for the years (1903-4 and 1907-8) The produce thus reserved was not given to the petitioner as he failed To deliver the remaining one article and effect the necessary temple-repairs as per his Muchalika.

The Amildar reports that the petitioner has only whitewashed and done some petty repairs to the temple estimated at Rs 18/- leaving out the other necessary items of repairs (i.e. the estimate of Rs 240/-) (Slip X)

GPB—3,000—25-9-07

Muzrai Secretariat | File No. 76 of 190 1/

that there is no probability of the petitioner getting the repairs done to the temple satisfactorily that the temple being an ancient and important one to which many devotees resort for offering worship it is highly desirable that they should be thoroughly repaired and kept in ~~xxxxx~~ good order and that the funds for that purpose might be accumulated by a systematic sale of half the produce of the temple lands annually by the Court leaving the other half in the hands of the Archak for temple purposes and further proposes the appointment of the marginally noted persons as Dharmadarsis.

Ramappa, Shanbhogue of Hikkal.
Rajanna, Daivotter of Hikkal.

The Dy. Commissioner adds that the memorialist failed to act up to his mutchalika of repairing the temple, or restoring all the articles, and considers it necessary that some steps on the lines suggested by the Amildar should be taken in the interests of the temple.

(Paper under disposal)

Submit for orders

W 30/5/08

If the Dy Comm's recommendation is sanctioned what will be the actual amount that will go to the Archak & whether such amount will be sufficient for the due performance of the duties. What is the actual cost of the repairs needed to the institution. Enquire of the Dy Comm. on the above & report early.

GPB—3,000—25-9-07

2/6/08

DH submitted

Revenue
tariat.

File No. 46 of 1907

8

Serial No.

To,

The Dy Commr, Tumkur Distt.

No. 5534 Dy 12-6-1908.

Calls for further information on the prayer of Lakshmipati Achar for the province of the previous years alleged to be due from the Inam land endowed to the Venkataramanaswamy-temple and other temples at Hikkalu, Tumkur Taluk.

from.

Lakshmipatiyachar.

Petitions - D. 354 and 4914 -

addressed to H H the Maharaja and this office

Prays for a speedy disposal

of the above case

Submitted with a draft.

10/7/08

Dated 5/7/1908

To, The Dy Commr, Tumkur Distt

Docket no. 540 Dy 4-1-1909.

Forwards for early report reminders petitions from Lakshmipatiyachar.

To,

Archak Lakshmipatyachar,

Endt no 541 Dy 4/1/1909

Intimates the above

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50.000—18-5-08

9

Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 76 of 1907

Serial No.

From, the Dy. Commr., Kurnool Dist.

Letter no. 2 Dy 29th June 1908

Reports on the proposal of meeting the cost of repairs to the Sri Venkataramana Swamy Temple at Nikkalu.

In this office docket no 5537 Dy 12th June 1908, the Dy Commr was asked to report what the actual cost of the repairs needed to the insts will be and what actual amount will go to the Archak and whether such amount will be sufficient for the due performance of the duties, if the recommendation viz "that the funds for that purpose (repairs) might be accumulated by a systematic sale of the produce of the temple lands by the Govt itself giving out the temple lands for cultivation purposes leaving the other half in the hands of the Archak for the temple purposes, ...," is sanctioned by Govt. the Dy Commrs present communication is a reply to this. Attention is also respectfully invited to the reports of the Munsif and the Sub Divl officer in the Vern file.

X W

Note – Contn. sheet

GPB—50,000—12 8 10

venue
etariat.

File No. 76 of 1907

Serial No.

u An estimate for Rs 700 has been received and the items of work noted thereon are reported to be very necessary. Out of this amount, u a sum of Rs 207 is promised by the devotees, some 200 Rs, from the sale of the produce of the Inam lands of the 3 years 03-04, 06-07 & 07-08 held in amanath and the balance of Rs 293 being met from the sale of one-half of the income produce of the Inam lands proposed to be accumulated.

The average produce of the Inam lands is said to be 5 and odd kandies, the Archak getting one-half of this as his share

It is reported that one Ranjiah is willing to take up all the lands on a lease of 6 kandies per years, that the lands when put up to auction may fetch enhanced price, that Lakshmi patiyachar as above is also the Archak of Sri Anjaneyaswamy Temple at Hikkalu and Sri Narasimhaswamy Temple at Kurubali, to which is getting 2 kandies and some income in the shape of kanike, the Amildar therefore recommends

X
* Amildar's report no 495 dt 28.10.10

GPB—50,000—12 8 10

venue
cretariat.

File No. 76 of 1907

Serial No.

that the lands may be leased out annually to the highest bidder for 5 years, one-half of the yield being accumulated to meet the balance of Rs 293 & and the other half to the Archak for purposes of the Temple, and adds that this amount is quite sufficient as the Archak is getting income from other sources pointed out.

The Dy. Commr states that on receipt of orders, he will take action to recover the Contribution promised and to sell the produce in annadi and adds that he will look into the question of the appointment of Dharmadarsis to the insts

--- The Estimate for the repairs of the Temple is for Rs 700. Out of this amt, Rs 207 has been promised, abt 200 Rs can be expected from the sale proceeds of the Inam lands held in annadi & the rest (293 Rs) from the sale proceeds of the produce the temple accumulates.

The lease of the Inam lands for 5 years to the highest bidder may, if approved, be sanctd as also the repairs of the temple at a cost of Rs 700 from the source pointed out

NOTE.—Contn. sheet

GPS—50,000—12 8 10

nnd Revenue Secretariat. | War File No. 76 of 1967 14

Serial No.

Submitted for orders. The estimate has been countersigned by the Dy Comr.
RL
27.7.11 Let the party appear on the 16th prox. I shall take him as for protection. RL 27.7.1911

Submitted with opt notes
AC(133)
29/7/11 RL

There are two matters to be considered -
(1) The disposal of the produce
(2). The release of the Venkataramanam.
Summary Trial.

As regards (1) the Labbai's contention is that he was suspended for fault of his and that

The facts are briefly these:
The Labbai's action in the case of the Venkatarama was one of his own Temple at Hillock on his Travelling Tahsil. The Temple was in ruins and a few articles of worship belonging to the Temple were missing. The Complainant of one Ignatius appears to be

GPB—50,000—12 8 10

alam Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 76 of 1907

Serial No.

Dr Clerk suspended Lakshmipathi Achari. On appeal to Govt. this order has reinstated and he asked to report this Temple and make good the articles reported to be missing.

He has produced most of, some of the missing articles.

There are two matters to be considered now -

(1) The disposal of this prisoner

(2). Reports of this Temple

As regards (1) Archak Lakshmipathi Achari's contention is that he was suspended for neglect of his and that therefore he is entitled to his pension of this period & his pension also.

The Dr Clerk has given this prisoner to give up the articles etc performed by him during this period of the Archak's suspension and has order to that this Archak should get his pension for this period to which he is entitled

This decision appears to be proper

The Archak is not entitled his pension this is awarded to him; and he is not entitled this reports of this Temple on this ground that he has not been given his pension.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12 8 10

and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 76 of 1907

Serial No.

The repairs are estimated to cost about Rs. 900. To meet this cost it is proposed to collect contributions from devotees, to call this file from the Archaka and appropriate the proceeds for repairs and also to appropriate half this sum every for some years to come to meet this deficit.

The D.C's report being filed about the Archaka's views on this matter I deemed this proper occasion to ascertain what to do if he would agree.

His statement recorded before me yesterday will show that he does not agree.

Now I think in this circumstances it will make it clear that in this case we may adopt the D.C's suggestion that this sum of Rs. 450 be collected from the devotees even though the Archaka cannot enjoy the lands from this fasli as the repairs are being carried out. My idea is to suggest that the Archaka should not some of the dues from lands and this sum should be found by devotees about 3 or 4 years so as to make up this deficit of this estimate. As stated above the Archaka does not agree.

Submitted to Second Commissioner for orders.

6/8/1914

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12 8 10

14 15

and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 76 of 1907

Serial No.

It is absolutely necessary that the temple shd be kept in good repair. As the Archak has failed to carry this out, the order proposed may be passed.

18/8/11

Petn & dft submitted

21/8/1911

Submitted with dfts.

AM (132) 23/8/1911 RL 24.8.11

Dft submitted to Secretariat

24.8.1911

Draft approd by 2nd Counsellor 26871

Issued 29/8/1911

Mem: Overseer to apply the cost in the usual manner to the emplts at mines

RL 12.9.11

Copied MM 15-9-11

NOTE—Contn sheet

GPB—50,000—12 8 10

Sl 1

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY TO
HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

N. 1360
/



Petition as shown below, to His Highness the Maharaja, is transferred to the Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Muzrai Department, Bangalore, for disposal.

No. 720-8-7-08

By Order,

I C. S.

Private Secretary
to H. H. The Maharajah of Mysore.

Sl 2

Muz. 1]

190

From

To

The Dy Commr

Dated Tumkur Dist

Despatched 3/12/07

Received

General No. 2291

No.

Enclosure No. 2

Adverting to Govt

Secs no 294 dated 14th July 1906 f

passing orders regarding the 4-

Archakship of the Sri - 0-.

Venkataramanaswamy and 16

other temple in the Tumkur c

Taluk, has the honor to

forward herewith memorial

registered no 720/2289 dated 29th

November 1904 addressed to . . f

GPL—3 000- 19-9 07

Lakshmipathi Achar praying
that the presence of the
temple lands held under
by the local authorities
attachment for no fault
of his own, may be ordered
to be made over to him
2) The Dy Comm is requested
to report on the memorialist's
prayer together with
information as to whether
any, and if so, to what
extent, repairs have been
executed to the temples
in question within the time
stipulated in the order
cited above; and also whether
the value of the missing
articles of the temples
was actually made good
by the servants responsible
for the same
2) The favor of an

Early reply is requested
~~together~~ with the Commissioners
opinion on the prayer of
the memorialist. By order,

Draft 3/12/07
Secretary

No. 2622
Encl to the Secretary

Muz. 5]

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE.

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

190

From

The Secretary to the Government
of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

To
The Dy: Commissioner,
Tumkur Dt.

Dated
Despatched 9th January 1908.
Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 3036
M. No.

This Office No. 2621 dated 3rd December 1907 calling for the submission of a report on the Petn of Lakshmipatiachar of Goolur praying for the restoration of the and subsequent reminder marginally noted produce of the inam lands endowed to Venkataramanaswami & other temples in the Tumkur Talak

Has the honor to draw attention to the above and to request to ~~be informed as to what action has been taken in the matter and when a reply may be expected.~~ the favor of an early reply thereto

By Order,

Sd/- [illegible] 7/1/08
Secretary,
Muzrai Department.

by 7/1/08

S.N.

.6.2.08

Muz. 5]

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

190

From

The Secretary to the Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

To The Dy: Commissioner, Tumkur Dt.

Dated

Despatched 10/11 Feby 1908

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 3467

M. No.

This Office No. 2621 dated 3rd December 1907 calling for the submission of a report re the restoration of the produce of the inam lands endowed to Venkataramanaswami & other temples in the Tumkur Taluk.

and subsequent reminder marginally noted

No. 30362/9-1-08

Has the honor to draw attention to the above and to request to be informed as to what action has been taken in the matter and when a reply may be expected.

By Order,

Secretary,
Muzrai Department.

10/2/1908

From
The Deputy Commissioner
Dumka District

To
The Secretary to Government
Revenue Department

Dated 18th February 1908

Despatched

Received

General No 466
No

Enclosure No.

Stating that the reports on the subject may be expected within the close of the month

---

V. Papers
Await further
report
W 19/2/08

Office of the Deputy
Tumkur District
Dated the 18th February 1905

From

K Doraswami Iyer Esq
Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

To.

L. Anantasami Raoboq B.A
Secretary to the Government
His Highness the Maharaja
of Mysore
Revenue Department

Sir,

In the reference bygone Docket no 3651, dated the 12th instant, requesting to be informed when a reply may be expected as regards the submission of a report re the restoration of the produce of the Inam lands endowed to Venkataramana swami and other temples in the Tumkur Taluk, I have the honor to state that a second urgent reminder has been issued today to the Tumkur Subdivisional Officer requesting him to expedite his

report in the matter and that a reply to the reference may be expected within the close of the current month.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
your most obedient servant
K Schmitt
for Deputy Commissioner

WRD

Muz. 5]

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

190

From

The Secretary to the Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

To The Dy: Commissioner, Tumkur St.

Dated

Despatched 21st April 1908

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 4683

M. No.

~~This Office~~ His No – 416 dated 18th February 1908 proviing the early submission of a report re the restoration of the produce of the inam lands and ~~subsequent reminder marginally noted~~ endowed to the Sri Venkataramana-swamy & other temples in the Tumkur Dt

Has the honor to draw attention to the above and to request an early reply thereto.

By Order,

Sd) 13/4/08

Secretary,
Muzrai Department.

GPB—1500—27-9-07 4/9.4.08

Bangalore.

5th May 1908.

My dear Sir,

On a petition submitted to His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore by one Lakshmipatiachar of Goolur regarding the restoration of the produce of the inam lands endowed to the Sri Venkataramanaswami & other temples in the Tumkur Dt. a report was called for in this office [illegible] 4620 dated 28-4-08

[illegible] that the report has not yet been received. I request you to give your personal attention to this matter and [illegible] or to submit an explanatory [illegible]

Yours [illegible]

K. Chandy

K. Doraiswamy Ayyar, B.A.,

[illegible] Tumkur

MYSORE ARCHIVES DEPARTMENT
4498
9/5/08
MUZRAI SECTION

From The Deputy Commissioner Tumkur Dist.

To The Secretary to Government Muzrai Department

Dated 8th May 1908

Despatched

Received

General No. 594

No.

Enclosure No.

Refer
VS.
9/5/08
LV

Send

Stating that the report on the subject of the restoration of the produce of the Inam lands endowed to the Sri Venkataramanaswami & other temples at Muddenahalli, Tumkur Taluk, will be submitted before the end of this month.

The promised report may be awaited
LV 12/5/08

12/5/08

Office of the
Tumkur
Dated 8th May 1908

From K. Chandy Esq B.A.
Offg Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

To. L. Anantasami Rao Esq B.A.
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore -
Munzrai Department

Sir, I have the honour to acknowledge the receipt of your D.O. dated the 5th instant, calling for a report regarding the restoration of the produce of the Inam lands endowed to the Sri Venkataramana Swamy and other temples at Huddenahalli in the Tumkur Taluk and to state that the information called for from the Tumkur Sub-Divisional Officer is still due. The papers are reported to be pending in the Tumkur Taluk in spite of three reminders issued to the Amildar, and an urgent reminder has been again issued to the Sub-Divisional Officer to expedite his report.

2. As desired, the report called for by you will in all probability be submitted

the end of this month, if not earlier.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

Edmund
For. Deputy Commissioner

S.C.

MADRAS GOVERNMENT
4724
22.5.08
SECRETARIAT

Reply.
AH -
22/5/08
GJ

From
The Offg Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur Distt

To
The Secretary to Government
Revenue Department

Dated 20 May 1908
Despatched 21st 1908
Received
General No. 625
No.
Enclosure No. one file

Reporting on the petition of Lakshmipathi acharlu praying for the restoration of the produce of the Inamlands endowed to the Sri Venkataramana swami temple & others -

Office

Dated 20th May 1908.

From. K Chandy Esq B.A.
Acting Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

To L. Anantasami Rao Esqr B.A.
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of
Mysore.
Muzrai Department

Sir.

Referring to your docket-
No 2621 dated 3rd December 1907 calling
for a report on the petition of Lakshma-
mipati Achar of Goolur praying
for the restoration of the produce of the
Inam lands endowed to the Sri Venkata
ramanaswami and other temples in the
Tumkur Taluk, I have the honor to
State as follows:-

In pursuance of Government
order

Order no 297 dated 14th July 1906 restoring the Petitioner to the Archakship of the temples and further directing that the petitioner should be required to effect the needful repairs to the temple and that the value of the missing articles should be recovered from the petitioner

Vide no 153 of 30/06

necessary orders were issued to the Amildar of the Limkur Taluk. On 28th November 06, the petitioner executed a mutchelika before the Shekdar of the Uradagere Hobli to the effect that he would assume charge of the temple on the condition of effecting necessary repairs to the temples in reference as per estimate, and produced to out of 11 articles reported to have been missed adding that the remaining one article Viz. an umbrella with a Silver "Kalasa" over it no more existed in the temple. The then doing

doing duly Archak
against making over charge until
took the produce of the temple Inam
land and the petitioner was kept out
of office till march 1907 when he took
charge of the Archakship of the temple.
The question as to which of the two
Pujaries - Gowrayappa and the petitioner
- Should receive the produce of the
temple lands for 1906-07 finally
came up before the Deputy Commissioner
with the Amildars recommendation
(report no 1611 dated 21-3-07) on which
it was ordered that the produce for (x no 1193 of 29/9/07
the year 1906-07. Should be equally
distributed between the two Pujaries,
named above as Gowrayappa was
reported to have performed the
Archik's duties for 8 months during
that year. But the Petitioner declined
to accept the produce thus offered
him and claimed the produce of
the

the Inam lands for the previous 4 years from the date he lost his Archik's place till he was reinstated, and refused to carry out the repairs to the temples till the produce of the temple lands for the 4 years was given him. Moreover it is reported that though the Villagers repaired the temple of Sri Anjaneya Devaru at Kemponahalli, the repairs to which the petitioner was bound to carry out, he failed to perform Puja in the said temple. As regards the produce of the temple lands for the past 4 years from 1903-04 to 1906-07: Gurumayappa who officiated as Archak of the temple has taken the full produce for the two years (1904-05 to 1905-06) and half of it for 1906-07; and there remains in reserve (under attachment and in the Hitkal Patel's custody) half the produce for the year 1906-07 and the whole of it for the years (1903-04 and 1907-08)

The produce thus
to the petitioner as he failed to
the remaining one article alleged to
be missing or to effect the necessary
repairs to the temples as per his
mutchalika. The Amildar reports that
the petitioner has only whitewashed
and done some petty repairs to
the temple, estimated at Rs 18/- leaving
out the other necessary items of repairs
as detailed in the estimate; that there
is no probability of the petitioner getting
the repairs done to the temple Satis-
factorily; that the temple being an
ancient and important one to which
many devotees resort for offering
worship it is highly desirable that
they should be thoroughly repaired
and kept in good order, and that the
funds for that purpose might be
accumulated

by a Systematic Sale of half of the produce of the temple lands annually by the Government itself giving out the temple lands for cultivation purposes leaving the other half in the hands of the Archick for the temple purposes, and further proposes that for enforcing a better management of the affairs of the temples in question two Dharmadarasees Viz. Messrs Seenappa Shanbhogue of Hikkal and Meayannah landholder of Hikkal, might be appointed. The memorialist having failed to act up to his mulchalika of repairing the temples, or restoring all the articles, I consider it necessary that some steps on the lines suggested by the Amildar should be taken in the interests of the temple

the above

cular records relating to

are herein enclosed for reference

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

M. Greenwood

For Deputy Commissioner

2M

Dft. as per order
S. H.

Mad. 1 A]

190

From

To

The Dy. Commr
Tanjore Distt

Dated

Despatched 12/6/1908

Received

General No. 5537

No.

Enclosure No. one file & P

Adverting to his
letter no 625 dated 20th
May 1908 reporting
on the petition of
Lakshmipathi Achar
of Gulur praying to
the restoration of the
produce of the Inam land
endowed to the Sri Veera
Ramaswamy -

GPB—3,000—21-7-03

others temples, has the honor
To request to be informed
as to what actual amount
will go to the Archaka
and whether such amount
will be sufficient for
the due performance of
the duties, if the recommendation
made in the foregoing
Communication is sanctioned
by Govt. Information
is also requested as to
what the actual cost
of the repairs needed to
the insides will be

3) We favor of an
early and detailed report
is requested

3) The Town records
are herewith returned
for reference and return.

6/6/08

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
5034
13.6.08

13/6/08

1916

SL5

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY TO
HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

No. 1622/1

Bangalore 9th December 1908

Mans 15-10-08-9
76-07

Petition as shown below, to His Highness the Maharaja, is transferred to the Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Mansfori Department, Bangalore, for in continuation of his office no. 1360 of 27 11 1907

No. 767 Pro 8-09

By Order,

I. C. S.
Private Secretary
to H. H. The Maharajah of Mysore.

D351



No. 497

~~103—08—10.~~
~~4½-01~~

Junathan
26th December 08

The Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Nuzrai Department, Bangalore.

Most Respected Sir,

I most respectfully beg to state that I was initiated to the Ahnika -ship of Sri Venkatarama Deekshitar and other temples according to the Honorable Government decision No. 227 dated 14th July 01, and I am doing the duties since the

2. When I was ...
justly, the people of the ...

belonging to those temples were kept in
a "Amanmat" with the Village Patels and
Shanbhogs &c. soon as I took charge of the
Inamdarship, I represented to the Amildar
of the Taluka Taluk to issue necessary
orders to hand over the crop to me; but to
no effect & finding it impossible the same to
the notice of the Deputy Commissioner
and prayed for an order in the matter;
but in vain. On the arrival of these corre-
spondence, I gave verbal orders with the village
officers

Under the above circumstances
I most respectfully beg leave that this Honourable
Government may be graciously pleased to issue

necessary

any orders to the Deputy Commissioner
disburse the produce of 6 years standing
to me who is doing the Seva and Puja of
the temples without even any remuneration
since 6 years and thereby relieve me from
the difficulties and troubles in this great
famine. For which act of Justice
and generosity, I shall ever pray.

I beg to remain
Most Honored Sir
Your Honour's most Obedient and
humble petitioner

Address:- Lakshmipathyachari
Archak, Nakkalli, Mysore
Tumkur Taluk

G.R.S. 29A] Sl 6

190

From

Secy. to the Govt. of His Highness The Maharaja of Mysore, Genl. and Rev. Dept.

To

The Dy Commr

Tumkur Dist.

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.

No.

ENCLOSURE No.

Referring to this office docket no. 5534 Dj 12-6-08 calling for further information on the prayer of the Archak Lakshmikantiyachar of Gubbi praying for the pramee of the previous years due from the Inam lands endowed to the Sri Venkataramanaswamy and other temples at Hikkali in the Tumkur Taluk, has the honor to forward herewith the marginally noted

* D. 354 addressed to H.H. the Maharaja
A.D. 497.

GPB--10,000—9-4-06.

petitioner from the said
individual and to request
that the submission of the
report may kindly be expedited

By order

D(t) [illegible]
for Secretary.
[illegible]/10/08

Encl: To the Petr.

[illegible]
[illegible]/12/[illegible]

76-07 dt 14.1.1969.

Sir,

I am directed to refer to your letter dated 10.1.1969 on the above subject and to say that the Government have decided to grant you an extension of service for a period of one year beyond the date of your superannuation.

2. The Government have also decided that you should be relieved of your duties as Secretary, Public Service Commission, with effect from the afternoon of 31st January, 1969 and that you should be appointed as Secretary, Irrigation Department, with effect from the forenoon of 1st February, 1969.

3. The Government have also decided that you should be appointed as Chairman, Andhra Pradesh Water Resources Development Corporation, Hyderabad, in addition to your duties as Secretary, Irrigation Department, with effect from the forenoon of 1st February, 1969.

4. The Government have also decided that you should be appointed as Chairman, Andhra Pradesh Water Resources Development Corporation, Hyderabad, in addition to your duties as Secretary, Irrigation Department, with effect from the forenoon of 1st February, 1969.

5. The Government have also decided that you should be appointed as Chairman, Andhra Pradesh Water Resources Development Corporation, Hyderabad, in addition to your duties as Secretary, Irrigation Department, with effect from the forenoon of 1st February, 1969.

Yours faithfully,

Secretary

Mus D No 14

190 7.No 76-07

1 4
1908-09

From

The Deputy Commissioner,

Tumkur District,

Tumkur.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

General and Revenue Departments,

(Huzrai) Bangalore.

Dated 29th June 1911

Despatched 1st July 1911

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No. 1 file.

Regarding the proposal of meeting the cost of repairs to the Sri Venkataramanaswami temple at Hikkallu, Tumkur Taluk.

Enclosure noted
Pl
3.7.11
3/7/1911

GPB—7b reams—20.3.10

Office

Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur Di

Dated Tumkur, 29th June 1911
1st July

From,

M. Shama Rao Esqr., M.A.,

Offg: Deputy Commissioner,

Tumkur District.

To,

C.S.Balasundaram Iyer Esqr., B.A

Offg: Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

General and Revenue Departments, Muzrai,

B a n g a l o r e.

Sir,

With reference to your docket No.3537 dated 12th June 1908 requesting certain information on the proposal made in this Office letter No.625 dated 20th May 1908 regarding the disposal of the produce of the Inam lands endowed to Sri Venkataramanaswami temple at Hikkallu in the Tumkur Taluk for the purpose of carrying out necessary repairs to the institution which the Archak Lakshmipati-achar failed to execute from time to time, I have the honor to state that the repairs of the temple are estimated to cost about 700/- (vide pages 157 & 158 on the file). Out of this amount ,a sum of Rupees 207/- is promised by the devotees and some Rupees 200/- is expected to be realised from the sale of the produce of the Inam lands held in amanath for the years 1903-04, 1906-07 and 1907-08 for reasons already explained in this Office letter above referred to (vide pages 162 and 164). The Amildar in his report No. 495 dated 28th October 1910 estimates the average produce from the Inam lands to be five and odd Kandies (excluding the ryot's share) valued at Rupees 103/- per year, the Archak getting one half of this as his share. He also states that one Numgaiya is willing to take all the lands on a lease of 6 Kandies per year -- not to

speak

of higher bids that may come up when put to auction Lakshmipati achar is reported to be the Archak also of Sri Anjaneyaswami at Hikkallu endowed with Inams from which some 2 Kandies are expected. A certain income in the shape of kanike etc., from the devotees is also expected. Under these circumstances, the Amildar is of opinion that the lands may be leased out annually to the highest bidder for a term of 5 years, one half of the Gutta produce being accumulated to meet the balance of Rupees 293/- of the estimate and the other half going to the Archak whose income from other sources pointed out above is ~~not~~ sufficient for the due performance of the duties expected of him. Action will be taken to recover the contribution of Rupees 207/- promised by the devotees and to sell the produce in ammanath on receipt of orders.

2. The appointment of Dharmadarsis proposed for supervising the affairs of the temple will be looked into.

3. The vernacular records relating to the case together with petitions received with docket No.540/Muz:76-06 dated 4th January 1909 accompany for reference.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Offg: Deputy Commissioner.

TRM.

ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಶ್ರೀಮತ್ ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಗೌರ್ನಮೆಂಟ್ ಜನರಲ್ ಮತ್ತು ರೆವಿನ್ಯೂ
ಸೆಕ್ರೆಟೆರಿಯವರ ಕಚೇರಿಯಿಂದ.

ನಂಬರ್. 347 ಮಿಸ 26/8/11
Misc. F. 76-07

ಸಂ ಕ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಅಮಲ್ದಾರ್ರಿಗ ಬರಸಿ

ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ ನಿರೂಪ ಅದಾಗಿ—

ಇಲ್ಲಿನ ಸ 1911—1912 ನ ಇಸವಿ ~~ಡಿಸೆಂಬರ್~~ ಅಪೀಲ್ ನ ನಂಬರು

ಮೊಕದ್ದಮು ಕಕ್ಷಿಗಾರರು ~~ಮೊರ್ಜಿನ್ನಲ್ಲಿ~~ ನಮೊದಾದವರ

ಹೆಸರಿಗೆ ತಯಾರಾದ ನೋಟಿಸುಗಳನ್ನ ಇದರ ಹಮೆರಹಾ

ಕಳುಹಿಸಿರುತ್ತೆ. ಕ್ರಮ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಜಾರಿಯಿಂದ ಅಸಲ್ ನೋಟಿಸುಗಳನ್ನ ಕೂಡ್ಲೆ ಈ ಆಫೀಸಿಗೆ ವಾಪಸ್

ಕಳುಹಿಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.

ಬ ತಾರೀಖು ನೆ ಮೊಹೆ 191 ನೆ

ಇಸವಿ, ದರ ಜಾಗಾ

Secretary to Government,
Gen & Rev Departments.

GPB—1,000—23-5-11

ఉపోద్ఘాతం

మన్నెం సుబ్బారావు గారి జీవిత చరిత్ర

ఆంధ్ర వీర వీరనారీమణులు

(మొదటి భాగం)

కొండపల్లి సీతారామయ్య గారి

ది గ్రేట్ మొఘల్ టవర్ ఆఫ్ ఇండియా లో

ది లాస్ట్ చాప్టర్ లో అప్పటి కాంగ్రెస్ నాయకులు

నిద్రపోతున్నారో నిలదీయాలని

ఆవేదన ఆక్రోశం కలిగిన ఆ రోజుల్లో

నాకు తోచిన రీతిలో ఆంధ్రుల

చరిత్రను వ్రాయాలని ప్రయత్నించాను.

ఆనాటి నా వ్యాసాలు ఈనాడు

నాకు దొరకలేదు. ఆ వ్యాసాలలో

ఏ ఏ అంశాలను ఎలా ప్రస్తావించానో

ఇప్పుడు గుర్తులేదు. అయితే, 1911 నుండి

1947 ఆగస్టు 15 వరకు జరిగిన

స్వాతంత్ర్య సంగ్రామ చరిత్రను

ఎలా వ్రాయాలనే విషయంలో

నాకు స్పష్టత ఉంది.

B. O.

కొండపల్లి సీతారామయ్య 97వ పుట్టినరోజు

ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಶ್ರೀಮನ್ ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಗೌರ್ನಮೆಂಟ್ ಜನರಲ್ ಮತ್ತು ರವಿನ್ಯೂ
ಸೆಕ್ರಟೆರಿಯವರ ಕಚೇರಿಯಿಂದ.

ನಂಬರ್. 347 — ಪ್ರಾ. ಪಿ ಶ್ರೀ Augustcyll.
Financial 76-07

ತಮ ರಿಂ ರ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಅಮೆಲ್ದಾರ್ರಿಗ ಬರಿಸಿ

ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ ಸಿರೂಪ ಅದಾಗಿ—

ಇಲ್ಲಿನ ಸ್ ಮುಂದಿನ 1911 —12 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಮಾರ್ಚ್ ಆಪೀಲ್ 18 ನೇ ನಂಬರು

ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯ ಕಕ್ಷಿಗಾರರು ಮೋರ್ಜಿನ್ನಲ್ಲಿ ನಮೂದಾದವರ

ಹೆಸರಿಗೆ ತಯಾರಾದ ನೋಟೀಸುಗಳನ್ನ ಇದರ ಹಮೇರಹಾ

ಕಳುಹಿಸಿರುತ್ತೆ. ಕ್ರಮ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಜಾರಿಯಿಂದ ಅಸಲ್ ನೋಟೀಸುಗಳನ್ನ ಕೂಡ್ಲೆ ಈ ಆಫೀಸಿಗ ವಾಪಸ್

ಕಳುಹಿಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.

ಬ ತಾರೀಖು ನೇ ಮಾಹ 190 ನೇ

ಇಸವಿ, ಗ ಪ್ರಾಗಾ

By Order

Secretary

4.8.11



Notice

మన్నెం జిల్లా మండలాలు

1. పార్వతీపురం
2. సాలూరు
3. కురుపాం
4. బొబ్బిలి
5. పాలకొండ
6. సీతంపేట
7. భామిని
8. కొమరాడ
9. జియ్యమ్మవలస
10. గరుగుబిల్లి
11. సీతానగరం
12. బలిజిపేట
13. మక్కువ
14. పాచిపెంట
15. రామభద్రపురం
16. బాడంగి
17. తెర్లాం
18. గుమ్మలక్ష్మీపురం
19. కొత్తవలస
20. వీరఘట్టం
21. పాలకొండ
22. నెల్లిమర్ల
23. గంట్యాడ
24. దత్తిరాజేరు
25. మెరకముడిదాం
26. బొండపల్లి
27. వేపాడ
28. ఎస్.కోట
29. జామి
30. డెంకాడ
31. గజపతినగరం
32. చీపురుపల్లి
33. గరివిడి
34. నెల్లిమర్ల
35. రాజాం
36. వంగర
37. రేగిడి ఆమదాలవలస
38. సంతకవిటి
39. బూర్జ
40. పొందూరు
41. ఆమదాలవలస
42. సరుబుజ్జిలి
43. ఎచ్చెర్ల
44. టెక్కలి
45. పలాస
46. కాశీబుగ్గ
47. మందస
48. వజ్రపుకొత్తూరు
49. సోంపేట
50. కవిటి
51. ఇచ్ఛాపురం
52. నందిగాం
53. హిరమండలం
54. సారవకోట
55. పాతపట్నం
56. మెళియాపుట్టి
57. ఎల్.ఎన్.పేట
58. కొత్తూరు
59. ఆమదాలవలస
60. పోలాకి
61. శ్రీకాకుళం
62. గార
63. నరసన్నపేట
64. జలుమూరు
65. లావేరు
66. రణస్థలం
67. ఇచ్ఛాపురం
68. పలాస
69. టెక్కలి
70. పాతపట్నం
71. నరసన్నపేట
72. ఆమదాలవలస
73. శ్రీకాకుళం
74. పాలకొండ
75. రాజాం
76. పాలకొండ
77. టెక్కలి
78. పాతపట్నం
79. శ్రీకాకుళం
80. ఆమదాలవలస
81. పాలకొండ
82. రాజాం
83. పాలకొండ
84. టెక్కలి
85. పాతపట్నం
86. శ్రీకాకుళం
87. ఆమదాలవలస
88. పాలకొండ
89. రాజాం
90. పాలకొండ
91. టెక్కలి
92. పాతపట్నం
93. శ్రీకాకుళం
94. ఆమదాలవలస
95. పాలకొండ
96. రాజాం
97. పాలకొండ
98. టెక్కలి
99. పాతపట్నం
100. శ్రీకాకుళం
101. ఆమదాలవలస
102. పాలకొండ
103. రాజాం
104. పాలకొండ
105. టెక్కలి
106. పాతపట్నం
107. శ్రీకాకుళం
108. ఆమదాలవలస
109. పాలకొండ
110. రాజాం
111. పాలకొండ
112. టెక్కలి
113. పాతపట్నం
114. శ్రీకాకుళం
115. ఆమదాలవలస
116. పాలకొండ
117. రాజాం
118. పాలకొండ
119. టెక్కలి
120. పాతపట్నం

ಸೇ- ನಾನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಬೇಕಾಗಿರುವ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ ಹೇಳಿಕೆಯ
ದಾಖಲೆಯ ಪ್ರತಿಯನ್ನು ಅಗತ್ಯವಿದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಪಡೆಯಲು
ಅನುಕೂಲವಾಗುವಂತೆ ಅದರ ಪ್ರತಿಯನ್ನು ಉಚಿತವಾಗಿ
ಒದಗಿಸಲು ಆದೇಶಿಸಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಕೋರಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಸ್ಥಳ: ಸಾಗರ

ದಿನಾಂಕ: 16/8/2024

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರ ಸಹಿ

ಹೆಸರು: ಸಂತೋಷ

Before me

Advocate

16/8/2024

13]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

GPB—30,000—23 2 11

G.R.S. 29A]

From

Secy. to the Govt. of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Genl. and Rev. Dept.

To

The Dy Com^r
Tumkur Dt

Dated

Despatched 8th September 1911

Received

General No. 572 -

Enclosure No. 1

My dear Sir

I send herewith Rs 700
the Estimate received
with your letter No. 2
dated the 29th June 1911
1 July
for the repairs of the Sri Venkata-
ramana Swami Temple
at Hikkal, Tumkur Taluk
and request that the
same may be countersigned
by the Ahmed Bros at an
early date

Yours sincerely
8/9/1911

MGC

Rs 679/11

No. Misc 454
two

Deputy Commissioner's Office

Tumkur 11th September 1911

Issue order now
14/9/11

My dear sir,

I send herewith the estimate for Rs 700/- received with your D.O. No 892 / Muz F 76-07 dated 8th September 1911 for the repairs of the Sri Venkatramana Swami temple at Hikkalu, Tumkur Taluk, duly countersigned as requested.

Yours sincerely

M. Shamma Rao

Offg: Deputy Commissioner.

To

A. Subramanya Iyer Esq., B.A.,

Mysore Superintendent in Mysore

Bangalore.

File No. 76-1907
Serial No. 9

Diary No. of letter replied to 14

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
( )

the 19

Date of issue

Signed by

READ--

Govt No. no 297 dated 14th July 1906 ordering that sig- installement of Lakshmipatiyachar, Archak of the Sri Venkataramana Swamy Temple at Hukkalige in the Tumkur Taluk, and be reinstated be called upon to effect the needful repairs within one year from the date of notice.

Memorial registered No D. 351 dated 10th Dec 1908

over

ORDER THEREON.—No.

SUBJECT.

GPB—10,000 19-4-10

6.9.11

by Archak patigachar requesting that the produce of the Temple Inam lands during the period of his suspension may be made over to him.

Correspondence ending with letter no. 2 dated 29th June 1911 from the Dy. Commr, Tumkur District.

Order therein no 677-8 Entitle :- 1 D, Inam. 7. 76-7

The Archak's contention is "I O. S." that he is entitled to the produce of the Inam lands for the period of his suspension is untenable. The Dy. Commr's. order giving the produce of the years 1904-5 and 1905-6 and half the produce of the years 1906-7 to Guruvayappa who performs Puja is correct. Lakshmi patigachar's petition is rejected-

27]
GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation Sheet draft form.)

2. The Temple is reported to require repairs to the extent of Rs 700/. Lakshmipatiyachar pleads inability to spend this large amount and offers to effect repairs to the extent of about Rs 300/ if the produce due to him is given.

3) The Dy. Commr reports that the estimate for Rs 700 may be sanctioned, and proposes to meet the cost from the sources specified below:—

Rs 207/- Contribution from devotees.

Rs 200/- Value of half the produce for the year 1906-7 due to Archak Lakshmipatiyachar, and the full produce for 1903-4 and 1904-5

Rs 293/- Value of half the

GPD—10,000—22-2-11

for 3 & 4

4: 2 is absolutely
that the temple should
be kept in good repair.
As the Archak has failed,
to carry this out, the Dy
Comm's proposals are approved.
5 It would be convenient if
out of the Inam lands,
the Dy. Comm^r ~~detach~~ apart
a few specified Survey
numbers to the Archak for
performing Puja and retained
the others under Govt management
for a period not exceeding
5 years, in order to meet the
cost of repairs. 6) The estimate
for Rs 1001 is sanctioned,
the cost being met as shown
in para 3 above

D64)

24/8/11

24/8/11

(Continuation Sheet dated 26/8/11)

GRS 47]

GENERAL AND REVENUE
SECRETARIAT

24/8/11

To,
The Dy Comm^r, Tumkur
Dis^t, returning the records.
Complete.

the Government of His Highness the
Maharaj of Mysore.

R e a d :-

Government Proceedings No.297 dated 14th July 1906 ordering that Lakshmipatiyachar, Archak of the Sri Venkataramana Swamy temple at Kikkallu in the Tumkur Taluk be reinstated and be called upon to effect the needful repairs within one year from the date of notice.

Memorial registered No.D.351 dated 10th December 1908 addressed to His Highness the Maharaja by Archak Lakshmipatiyachar requesting that the produce of the temple Inam lands during the period of his suspension, may be made over to him.

Correspondence ending with letter No.2 dated 29th June 1911 from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District.

--------00000000-------

Order thereon No.677-8/Muz.D.76-07,

Dated Bangalore 19th September 1911.

The Archak's contention that he is entitled to the produce of the Inam lands for the period of his suspension is untenable. The Deputy Commissioner's order giving the produce of the years 1904-05 and 1905-6 and half the produce of the years 1906-7 to Gururayappa who performed Puja is correct. Lakshmipatiyachar's petition is rejected.

2. The temple is reported to require repairs to the extent of Rs. 700/-. Lakshmipatiyachar pleads inability to spend this large amount and offers to effect repairs to the extent of about Rs. 300/- if the produce due to him is given.

3. The Deputy Commissioner reports that the estimate for Rs. 700/- may be sanctioned, and proposes to meet the

cost

cost from the sources specified below:-

Rs. 207/- contribution from devotees.

Rs. 200/- value of half the produce for the year 1906-7 due to Archak Lakshmipatiyachar, and the full produce for 1903-4 and 1907-08.

Rs.293/. Value of half the produce of the Inam lands for 3 or 4 years from 1911-12.

4. It is absolutely necessary that the temple should be kept in good repair. As the Archak has failed to carry this out, the Deputy Commissioner's proposals are approved.

5. It would be convenient if out of the Inam lands, the Deputy Commissioner made over a few specified survey numbers to the Archak for performing Puja and retained the others under Government management for a period not exceeding 5 years, in order to meet the cost of repairs.

6. The estimate for Rs. 700/- is sanctioned, the cost being met as shown in para 3 above.

For Secretary to Government.

To

The Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, returning the records.

" Comptroller, Mysore Government.

M.N.

Dft. as per order.

509/76-07 dt 4-1-1912.

DIARY No. 1142

10/11/1912

DIVISION Miscl

FILE NO. 76-07

SERIAL No.

G.O. no. 677-B dt 19.9.1911
M.7.76-07

Reference
Govt Order
1/12 dt 18/11/1912

13]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

D 1142

M.T. 76-09

Petition from Archak Lakshmipathyachar of Sri Venkataramanaswamy Temple at Hikkalu in Kis. Dummur Taluk praying for orders on his case.

M. Secretary's order:— "An Inst. may be issued."

An Inst. has already been issued to the petr. Another Inst. forwarding a copy is submitted for approval or other orders

12.2.12

Inst. to Asst. Commr. Mysore dated 17/2/12 No. 189, D 1142-09

Intimating that the final orders have already been passed in the matter & forwarding a copy of Govt. No. 1504 already issued in 1911

:+

No 1509 Dy 4-1-1912

M.T 76-09

A. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied or slips pasted on this form.

GPB—30,000—9, 2 11

Dft. for approval -

Edn. D

7607

కొండ్రు శ్రీ కాళ్ళ విద్యల ప్రాథమిక
14-2-1912 నాటి ఎంక్వయిరీ రిపోర్టు చదివి
అభిప్రాయం అడిగినారు -

ఈ పని శ్రీ కాళ్ళ వారు ఎంతకాలం
తీసుకొంటారో 1912 లో ఎంత ఖర్చు అవుతుందో
కొంచెం 30 తేదీ నాటికి D 1142 తేదీ
గల లేఖ ద్వారా తెలియజేయవలెను -

పై పని చేయడానికి ఎంత కాలం
అవసరమో ఎంత ఖర్చు అవుతుందో
గాని వీరు ఈ 1509 / 4.1.1912 తేదీ లేఖలో
ఏమీ తెలియజేయలేదు కాబట్టి వీరు
తెలుపవలెను - ఈ లోగా ఈ పని చేయడానికి
అందరు కాళ్ళ కార్యదర్శులకు ఇవ్వడానికి గాని
ఈ పని మొదలు పెట్టడానికి గాని
వీలు లేదు.

By order
12/1/1912
for Secretary
1.1.12